John Discussion

Remember that these monologues are essentially historical fiction, based on the Scripture but filling in plausible subjective details, both emotional responses and motivations. Your first task is to decide if they are reasonable.

What would the emotional state of the disciples have been between the crucifixion and the resurrection?

What if anything might they have been talking about?

Would they have remembered the prophecies of resurrection?

Why do you suppose the Lord chose to have angels announce the resurrection first to the group of women who came to anoint the body?

What do you think the soldiers might have remembered of that night?

Why do you think Jesus chose to appear first to Mary Magdalene?

Compare the reactions of Peter and John to the first reports.

Does the drama seem believable in that regard?

How did they each react to the actual evidence of resurrection?

Would Peter really have been dreading to face Jesus again?

Why did the Lord let Peter go through that emotional wringer?

Can we learn anything about "bad news — good news" from this?